See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/257792416

Solution of singlet Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi evolution equation in next-to-next-to-leading order at small-x

Article *in* Indian Journal of Physics · February 2012

CITATIONS		reads 90	
3 authors:			
6	Mayuri Devee Tezpur University 10 PUBLICATIONS 74 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		R. Baishya J. N. College, Boko 11 PUBLICATIONS 120 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE
	Jayanta Sarma Tezpur University, Napam, Tezpur, India 84 PUBLICATIONS 442 CITATIONS SEE PROFILE		
Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:			

Investigation of various models of the electron View project

ORIGINAL PAPER



Solution of singlet Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi evolution equation in next-to-next-to-leading order at small-*x*

M Devee¹*, R Baishya² and J K Sarma¹

¹Department of Physics, Tezpur University, Napaam, Tezpur 784028, Assam, India

²Department of Physics, J.N. College, Boko 781123, Assam, India

Received: 01 July 2011 / Accepted: 05 December 2011

Abstract: In this paper we have obtained the singlet structure function by solving the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution equation in next-to-next-to-leading order at the small-*x* limit. Here we have used a Taylor series expansion to solve the evolution equations to get the t (=ln Q^2/Λ^2) and *x*-evolutions of structure functions, where *x* is the Bjorken variable, Q^2 is the four momentum transfer in a deep inelastic scattering (DIS) process and Λ is the QCD cut off parameter. We have also calculated the *t* and *x*-evolutions of deuteron structure functions. Results are compared with recent experimental data and parametrizations.

Keywords: DGLAP equation; Deep inelastic scattering (DIS); Structure function

PACS Nos.: 12.38.-t; 12.39.-x; 13.60.Hb

1. Introduction

Deep inelastic scattering (DIS) establishes the scale evolution of the structure functions which is one of the most important precision tests of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD) [1]. It provides unique information about the deep structure of the hadrons. Most importantly, measurements of these structure functions in DIS allow extracting the parton densities, which are subsequently used as inputs for many other hard scattering processes. Recently a considerable amount of theoretical and experimental effort has been reported in the accurate determination of the parton distributions of the nucleon, and in particular their associated errors in view of the accurate determination of QCD parameters, test of sum rules and computation of collider processes [2]. The Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution equations [3-6] are the fundamental tools to study the t $(=\ln Q^2/\Lambda^2)$ and x-evolutions of structure functions. Hence the solutions of DGLAP evolution equations give quark and gluon distribution functions which ultimately produce proton, neutron and deuteron structure functions.

The solutions of the unpolarized DGLAP equation for the QCD evolution of structure functions have been discussed

considerably over the past years [7-16]. In a recent paper, the non-singlet structure function at small-x in next-to-next-toleading order (NNLO) has been obtained from DGLAP equation by using Taylor expansion method [17]. In the present paper, we would intend to solve the DGLAP equation analytically by Taylor expansion method to obtain a seminumerical solution for singlet structure functions at small-x in NNLO. Inclusion of the NNLO contributions considerably reduces the theoretical uncertainty of determinations of the quark and gluon densities from deep-inelastic structure functions. Recently the three-loop splitting functions are introduced with excellent phenomenological success [18–20]. Here, we have studied the impact of the NNLO contributions on the evolution of the singlet quark and gluon densities and on the most important singlet structure function, $F_2^S(x, t)$. We have also calculated t and x-evolutions of deuteron structure functions and results are compared with Fermilab E665 Collaboration [21] and New Muon Collaboration (NMC) [22] experimental data and Neural Network Parton Distribution Functions (NNPDF) [23] parametrizations.

2. Theory

The DGLAP evolution equation for singlet structure function in NNLO is [24, 25]

^{*}Corresponding author, E-mail: deveemayuri@gmail.com

$$\frac{\partial F_2^S}{\partial t} - \frac{\alpha_S(t)}{2\pi} \left[\frac{2}{3} \{ 3 + 4\ln(1-x) \} F_2^S(x,t) + I_1^S(x,t) \right] \\ - \left(\frac{\alpha_S(t)}{2\pi} \right)^2 I_2^S(x,t) - \left(\frac{\alpha_S(t)}{2\pi} \right)^3 I_3^S(x,t) = 0,$$
(1)

where

$$\begin{split} I_1^S(x,t) &= \frac{4}{3} \int_x^1 \frac{d\omega}{1-\omega} \left[\left(1+\omega^2\right) F_2^S\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) - 2F_2^S(x,t) \right] \\ &+ N_f \int_x^1 \left\{ \omega^2 + (1-\omega)^2 \right\} G\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) d\omega, \\ I_2^S &= \left[(x-1)F_2^S(x,t) \int_0^1 f(\omega) d\omega + \int_x^1 f(\omega)F_2^S\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) d\omega \\ &+ \int_x^1 F_{qq}^S(\omega)F_2^S\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) d\omega + \int_x^1 F_{qg}^S(\omega)G\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) d\omega \right], \\ I_3^S(x,t) &= \int_x^1 \frac{d\omega}{w} \left[P_{qq}(x)F_2^{NS}\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) + P_{qg}(x)G\left(\frac{x}{w},t\right) \right]. \end{split}$$

The strong coupling constant $\alpha_{s}(Q^{2})$ [26], is related with the β -function by

$$\begin{split} \beta(\alpha_S) &= \frac{\partial \alpha_S(Q^2)}{\partial \log Q^2} \\ &= -\frac{\beta_0}{4\pi} \alpha_S^2 - \frac{\beta_1}{16\pi^2} \alpha_S^3 - \frac{\beta_2}{64\pi^3} \alpha_S^4 + \cdots \end{split}$$

where, $\beta_0 = \frac{11}{3}N_C - \frac{4}{3}T_f$, $\beta_1 = \frac{34}{3}N_C^2 - \frac{10}{3}N_CN_f - 2C_FN_f = \frac{306-38N_f}{3}$ and $\beta_2 = \frac{2857}{54}N_C^3 + 2C_F^2T_f - \frac{205}{54}N_C^2 + \frac{14}{9}C_FT_f^2 + \frac{158}{27}N_CT_f^2 = \frac{2857}{2} - \frac{6673}{18}N_f + \frac{325}{54}N_f^2$ are the one-loop, two-loop and three-loop corrections to the QCD β -function and N_f being the flavor number. We have set $N_C = 3$, $C_F = \frac{N_C^2 - 1}{2N_C} = \frac{4}{3}$ and $T_f = \frac{1}{2}N_f$.

Now using Taylor expansion and retaining only first two terms we get

$$F_2^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) = F_2^{\mathcal{S}}(x,t) + \frac{xu}{1-u}\frac{\partial F_2^{\mathcal{S}}(x,t)}{\partial x},\tag{2}$$

$$G\left(\frac{x}{\omega},t\right) = G(x,t) + \frac{xu}{1-u} \frac{\partial G(x,t)}{\partial x},$$
(3)

where $u = 1 - \omega$. Here we have neglected the terms containing x^2 and higher powers of x since x is small (<<1) in our region of discussion. In order to solve Eq. (1), we need to relate the singlet structure function $F_2^S(x,t)$ with the gluon structure functionG(x, t). For simplicity, we can assume $G(x,t) = K(x)F_2^S(x,t)$, where K(x) is a suitable function of x or may be a constant. The consideration of this relation is based on the assumptions that the t-evolution of singlet and gluon distribution are identical [27]. Other reason for the use of this relation is that the input singlet and gluon parameterizations, taken for the global analysis to constitute different high precision data, are also functions of x at fixed t [28]. However, the actual functional form of K(x) can be determined by simultaneous solutions of coupled equations of singlet and gluon structure functions. But one can also use a more general version of the relation $G(x,t) = K(x,t)F_2^S(x,t)$ which is close to reality and compatible with the momentum sum rule.

Using Eqs. (2) and (3) in Eq. (1) and performing uintegrations we get

$$-t\frac{\partial F_2^S(x,t)}{\partial t} + L(x,t)\frac{\partial F_2^S(x,t)}{\partial x} + M(x,t)F_2^S(x,t) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where,

$$L(x) = \frac{3}{2}A_f[(A_2 + KA_4) + T_0(B_2 + KC_4) + T_1(C_2 + KC_4)]$$

and

$$M(x) = \frac{3}{2} A_f \left[\left(A_1 + KA_3 + \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} A_4 \right) + T_0 \left(B_1 + KB_3 + \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} B_4 \right) + T_1 \left(C_1 + KC_3 + \frac{\partial K}{\partial x} C_4 \right) \right]$$

with $\frac{\alpha_{S}(t)}{2\pi} = \frac{3A_{f}}{2t} = T(t)$. To linearise $T^{2}(t)$ and $T^{3}(t)$ we consider two suitable numerical parameters T_0 and T_1 such that $T^2(t) = T_0 \cdot T(t)$ and $T^{3}(t) = T_{1} \cdot T(t)$ [17].

The general solution of Eq. (4) is F(U, V) = 0, where F(U, V) is an arbitrary function. Here $U(x, t, F_2^S) = K_1$ and $V(x, t, F_2^S) = K_2$ are two independent solutions of the Lagrange's equation

$$\frac{\partial x}{L(x,t)} = \frac{\partial t}{-t} = \frac{\partial F_2^S(x,t)}{-M(x,t)F_2^S(x,t)}.$$
(5)

To get the solution of Eq. (5), we will introduce functions $\overline{L}(x)$ and $\overline{M}(x)$ such that $L(x,t) = \frac{\beta_0 t}{2} T(t) \overline{L}(x)$ and M(x,t) = $\frac{\beta_0 t}{2} T(t) \overline{M}(x)$ [17]. Thus solving Eq. (5) we obtain

$$F_{2}^{S}(x,t) = -\gamma t^{1+\left(\frac{b-b^{2}}{t}\right)} \exp\left(\frac{b-c-b^{2}\ln^{2}t}{t}\right)$$
$$\exp\left[\int \left(\frac{1}{\overline{L}(x)} - \frac{\overline{M}(x)}{\overline{L}(x)}\right)dx\right],$$
(6)

where $\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, $b = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^2}$ and $c = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0^3}$ are some constants. Now defining an input point $F_2^S(x, t_0)$ at $t = t_0$, where

 $t_0 = \ln(Q^2/\Lambda^2)$ for any lower value $Q^2 = Q_0^2$, we get the

t-evolution of deuteron structure function $F_2^d(x, t)$ in NNLO from Eq. (6) as

$$F_{2}^{d}(x,t) = F_{2}^{d}(x,t_{0}) \left(\frac{t^{1+(b-b^{2})}}{t_{0}^{1+(b-b^{2})/t_{0}}} \right)$$

$$\exp\left(\frac{b-c-b^{2}\ln^{2}t}{t} - \frac{b-c-b^{2}\ln^{2}t_{0}}{t_{0}} \right)$$
(7)

where, $F_2^d(x,t) = \frac{5}{9}F_2^S(x,t)$.

Similarly defining $F_2^S(x_0, t)$ at $x = x_0$, the *x*-evolution of deuteron structure function in NNLO is obtained from Eq. (6) as

$$F_{2}^{d}(x,t) = F_{2}^{d}(x_{0},t) \exp\left[\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \left(\frac{1}{\bar{L}(x)} - \frac{\bar{M}(x)}{\bar{L}(x)}\right) dx\right].$$
 (8)

Eqs. (7) and (8) are used in our phenomenological work for calculation of deuteron structure function.

3. Results and discussion

We have compared our results of *t* and *x*-evolutions of deuteron structure function $F_2^d(x, t)$ with E665 and NMC



Fig. 1 *t* and *x*-evolutions of deuteron structure function compared to E665 data. For clarity, data are scaled up by +0.4i in (a) and +0.1i in (b) (with i = 0, 1, 2, 3) starting from the bottom of all graphs in each figure



Fig. 2 *t* and *x*-evolutions of deuteron structure function compared to NMC data. For clarity, data are scaled up by +0.2i in (a) and +i in (b) (with i = 0, 1, 2, 3) starting from the bottom of all graphs in each figure



Fig. 3 t and x-evolutions of deuteron structure function compared to NNPDF parametrization. For clarity, data are scaled up by +0.4i in (a) and +0.1i in (b) (with i = 0, 1, 2, 3) starting from the bottom of all graphs in each figure

experimental data, and NNPDF parameterizations in Figs. 1a, b; 2a, b and Fig. 3a, b respectively. We consider the range $0.0052 \le x \le 0.18$ and $1.094 \le Q^2 \le 26 \text{ GeV}^2$ for E665 data, $0.0045 \le x \le 0.18$ and $0.75 \le Q^2 \le 27 \text{ GeV}^2$ for NMC data and $0.0045 \le x \le 0.09$ and $1.25 \le Q^2 \le 26 \text{ GeV}^2$ for NNPDF parametrization. In the *t*-evolutions of deuteron structure function our computed values of $F_2^d(x,t)$ from Eq. (7) are plotted against Q^2 for different values of x. On the other hand, for *x*- evolutions our computed values of $F_2^d(x,t)$ from Eq. (8) are plotted against *x* for different values of Q^2 . Here vertical error bars are total statistical and systematic uncertainties. In all graphs, the lowest- Q^2 and highest-*x* points are taken as inputs for $F_2^d(x,t_0)$ and $F_2^d(x_0,t)$ respectively.

4. Conclusions

We have observed that the *t* and *x*-evolutions of deuteron structure function are in good consistency with the experimental data and parametrization. Though various methods like Laguerre polynomials, brute-force methods, Mellin transformation etc. are available in order to obtain a numerical solution of DGLAP evolution equations, but our method to solve these equations analytically is also a viable alternative. It changes the integro-differential DGLAP equation into first order partial differential equations which are easier to solve. Here we have considered very few numbers of parameters compared to other methods. Moreover, with this method we have calculated the *x*-evolution of deuteron structure function.

Acknowledgment Two of the authors (M D and J K S) are grateful to UGC for financial support.

References

- D Griffith Introduction to elementary particles (New York: John Wiley and Sons) (1987)
- [2] A D Martin, R G Roberts, W J Stirling and R S Thorne Eur. Phys. J. C28 455 (2003)
- [3] Y L Dokshitzer Sov. Phys. JETP 46 461 (1977)
- [4] G Altarelli and G Parisi Nucl. Phys. B126 298 (1977)
- [5] V N Gribov and L N Lipatov Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15 438 (1972)
- [6] L N Lipatov Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 20 94 (1975)
- [7] S Moch and J A M Vermaseren Nucl. Phys. B573 853 (2000)
- [8] A Vogt Comput. Phys. Commun. 170 65 (2005)
- [9] A Cafarella, C Coriano and M Guzzi Nucl. Phys. B748 253 (2006)
- [10] G P Salam and J Rojo Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 120 (2009)
- [11] D K Choudhury and J K Sarma Pramana J. Phys. 38 481 (1997)
- [12] R Baishya and J K Sarma Phys. Rev. D74 107702 (2006)
- [13] R Baishya and J K Sarma Indian J. Phys. 83 1333 (2009)
- [14] R Baishya and J K Sarma Indian J. Phys. 84 1701 (2010)
- [15] U Jamil and J K Sarma Indian J. Phys. 85 141 (2011)
- [16] D K Choudhury and Saiful Islam Indian J. Phys. 85 319 (2011)
- [17] R Baishya and J K Sarma Eur. Phys. J. C60 585 (2009)
- [18] S A Larin, P Nogueirac, T van Ritbergen and J A M Vermaseren Nucl. Phys. B492 338 (1997)
- [19] W L van Neerven and A Vogt Nucl. Phys. B588 345 (2000)
- [20] A Vogt, S Moch and J A M Vermaseren Nucl. Phys. B691 129 (2004)
- [21] M R Adams et. al. (E665) Phys. Rev. D54 3006 (1996)
- [22] M Arneodo et. al. (CERN-NA-037,NMC) Nucl. Phys. B483 3(1997)
- [23] S Forte, L Garrido, J I Latorre and A Piccione JHEP 05 062 (2002)
- [24] W Furmanski and R Petronzio Phys. Lett. 97B 437 (1980)
- [25] L F Abbott, W B Atwood and R M Barnett Phys. Rev. D22 582 (1980)
- [26] B G Shaikhatdenov, A V Kotikov, V G Krivokhizhin and G Parente Phys. Rev. D81 034008 (2010)
- [27] D K Choudhury and A Saikia Pramana-J. Phys 33 359 (1989)
- [28] A D Martin, R G Roberts, W J Stirling and R S Thorne *Phys. Lett.* B 604 61 (2004)